The structure tensor field obtained by homogenization with the covariogram

Hicham El Mansouri , Mikaël Gueguen , Damien Halm , and Azdine Nait-Ali*[1]

[1]Institut Pprime (PPRIME) – Université de Poitiers, ENSMA, Centre National de la Recherche
Scientifique : UPR3346 – Institut P:́ Recherche et Ingénierie en Matériaux, Mécanique et
EnergétiqueSP2MI Téléport 2Boulevard Pierre et Marie CurieBP 3017986962 FUTUROSCOPE
CEDEX, France

Abstract

The study of multi-phase materials such as fiber-reinforced composites, porous structures, and polycrystalline aggregates is essential for understanding and predicting their mechanical and physical behavior. These materials exhibit a high degree of complexity due to their heterogeneity, and the interplay of various phases at the microstructural level governs their macroscopic properties. The primary objective of this research is to characterize the damage behavior of such multi-phase materials by leveraging the intricate details of their microstructure. To achieve this, a non-local variational framework is utilized, offering a sophisticated approach that substitutes traditional characteristic lengths with microstructure-specific descriptions.

The non-local variational framework provides a robust mathematical foundation for modeling the damage behavior of heterogeneous materials. Traditional models often rely on a characteristic length scale to account for non-local interactions, such as the size of a representative volume element (RVE) or the scale of microstructural features. However, this approach can oversimplify the inherent complexity of multi-phase materials. Instead, the proposed framework incorporates a detailed microstructural description tailored to the specific material under study. This shift allows for a more accurate representation of the material's behavior, particularly in cases where microstructural details such as phase distribution, orientation, and connectivity significantly influence damage mechanisms.

One of the standout features of this framework is its ability to conduct computations on "morphologically equivalent" (ME) materials. These ME materials serve as substitutes for high-resolution tomography images, offering a computationally efficient alternative that still preserves the essential microstructural characteristics. By bypassing the need for direct tomography data, this approach simplifies the meshing process, reducing computational overhead while maintaining fidelity to the material's inherent structure. The microstructural description, therefore, becomes the cornerstone of the model, enabling accurate simulations and predictions of material behavior under various loading conditions.

Central to this framework are two powerful tools: the covariogram and the structure tensor field (STF). These tools facilitate the quantitative characterization of the microstructure, enabling the extraction of key parameters and features that define the heterogeneous medium.

---

*Speaker

The covariogram, also known as geometrical covariance, is a statistical measure that describes the spatial distribution of features within a heterogeneous medium. It captures essential information about the microstructure, including parameters such as:
- Volume Fraction (Vf): The proportion of different phases within the material, which influences overall material properties such as stiffness, strength, and thermal conductivity.
- Inclusion Size: The size of distinct inclusions or phases within the microstructure, which affects the material's mechanical response and damage evolution.
- Repulsion Length (lrep): A measure of the spatial arrangement and interaction of inclusions, indicating the degree of clustering or dispersion within the microstructure.
- Integral Range (A): The distance beyond which the covariogram no longer provides additional statistical information, reflecting the scale of heterogeneity in the material.

These parameters collectively define the material's microstructural landscape, providing a comprehensive description that informs the computational model.

The covariogram also serves as a basis for extracting the material's explicit morphology. By analyzing the inertia matrix derived from the covariogram, researchers can determine the shape and orientation of features within the microstructure. This analysis yields the structure tensor (ST), a mathematical representation that encapsulates the morphological characteristics of the material. The structure tensor plays a critical role in the reproduction of ME materials, as it enables the accurate replication of the material's microstructural features in computational simulations.

The structure tensor field (STF) extends the concept of the structure tensor to a spatially resolved representation, providing a localized description of the material's microstructure. By computing the structure tensor at each point within the medium, the STF captures variations in morphology and orientation across the material. This localized approach is essential for modeling damage behavior, as it accounts for the heterogeneity and anisotropy of the microstructure.

The STF provides two primary descriptors of the local microstructure:

1. Local Orientation:

Represented by the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor, the local orientation indicates the predominant directionality of features within the microstructure. This information is critical for understanding anisotropic behavior and directional dependence of material properties.

2. Local Texture:

Quantified using the ”coherence factor,” a ratio derived from the eigenvalues of the structure tensor. In a two-dimensional context, the coherence factor is given by the formula:

Coherence Factor= (lambda_1 - lambda_2)/ (lambda_1 + lambda_2)

where lambda_1 and lambda_2 are the eigenvalues of the structure tensor. The coherence factor provides a measure of the degree of alignment or isotropy in the local microstructure, with higher values indicating greater anisotropy.

The integration of the covariogram and STF into the non-local variational framework has significant implications for the study of multi-phase materials. By providing a detailed and localized description of the microstructure, this approach enables the development of accurate damage models that account for the material's inherent heterogeneity and anisotropy.

One of the key applications of this framework is the generation of morphologically equivalent (ME) materials. These computational models replicate the essential features of the original material's microstructure, allowing for efficient simulations without the need for direct tomography data. This capability is particularly valuable in cases where experimental data is limited or where high-resolution imaging is impractical.

The use of ME materials also simplifies the meshing process, as the microstructural description provides a clear and detailed representation of the material's features. This streamlined approach reduces the computational resources required for simulations, enabling the study of complex materials and loading scenarios with greater efficiency.

The detailed microstructural characterization provided by the covariogram and STF supports the development of advanced damage models. By incorporating local orientation and texture information, these models can capture the anisotropic and heterogeneous nature of damage evolution in multi-phase materials. This leads to more accurate predictions of failure mechanisms and improved insights into material performance.

While the proposed framework offers significant advantages, several challenges and areas for further research remain. For instance, the accurate determination of covariogram parameters and the computation of the structure tensor field require robust algorithms and high-quality data. Additionally, extending the framework to three-dimensional microstructures introduces additional complexity, particularly in terms of computational requirements and data processing.

Despite these challenges, the potential applications of this framework are vast. From the design of novel composite materials to the optimization of existing structures, the ability to accurately model and predict the behavior of multi-phase materials opens up new possibilities for innovation in materials science and engineering.

Conclusion

The characterization of multi-phase materials through microstructural analysis represents a critical step toward understanding and optimizing their behavior. By leveraging the detailed information provided by tools such as the covariogram and structure tensor field, the proposed non-local variational framework offers a powerful approach for modeling damage mechanisms in heterogeneous materials. The use of morphologically equivalent materials and the emphasis on microstructure-specific descriptions ensure computational efficiency without sacrificing accuracy. As this framework continues to evolve, it holds promise for advancing our ability to design and engineer materials with tailored properties for a wide range of applications.